

External review of the Higher Education Accreditation Agency of Republika Srpska (RSHEAA)
by the European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (ENQA)

Annex I: TERMS OF REFERENCE

March 2016

1. Background and Context

Agency has a status of public authority and legal person. It is independent and non-profit organization. Government of Republika Srpska adopted the decision on establishing the Agency on 24th February, 2011 and the Agency officially started working on May 11th, 2011.

One of the basic goals of the Agency and its sole purpose is organizing and conducting the external evaluation process of HEIs' quality and accreditation of HEIs and study programmes. This process is conducted in accordance with European standards and procedures published in ESG document what requires necessary resources, competent personnel and expert knowledge. International recognition of Republika Srpska higher education within the European higher education area depends on available resources, validity of conducted audits and accreditations, as well as presented quality of accredited institutions. It is clear that the achievement of international recognition of RS higher education presents especially significant and responsible social task for HEIs as well as for all other stakeholders of RS higher education system.

Basic activity of the Agency is accreditation of higher education institutions and study programmes. Agency has developed internal documents for detailed regulation of accreditation process according to ESG. This set of documents is available at the Agency web portal (www.heears.com). Members of expert panels work in accordance with Rule book on work and forming of the expert panel. For each HEI applying for accreditation there is an agreement in place between the Agency and the HEI listing terms and conditions for conducting the process. The Agency forms the panel of experts from the joint lists of experts at BiH level, and panel is always composed of an expert from the local academic community, foreign academic community expert, expert representing labour market and students' representative.

In accordance with all mentioned documentation accreditation is carried out through the following main processes:

1. Eligibility criteria check,
2. Providing guidance for HEI with a purpose of application preparation,
3. Legitimacy check,
4. Forming of panel of experts,
5. Evaluation and assessment process according to ESG and accreditation criteria,
6. Accreditation decision based on recommendation of panel of experts,
7. Follow-up activities (includes Plan on follow-up activities made by HEI based on recommendation of panel of experts; Agency has software for monitoring the implementation of the above mentioned Plan; audit before re-accreditation).

Other recently developed process is audit performed before re-accreditation process or after issuing the letter of expectation in order to review the level of fulfilment of panel's recommendations on the lowest assessed criteria.

RSHEAA is applying for ENQA membership.

RSHEAA is applying for registration on EQAR.

2. Purpose and Scope of the Evaluation

This review, will evaluate the way in which and to what extent RSHEAA fulfils the *Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG)*. Consequently, the review will provide information to the ENQA Board to aid its consideration of whether membership of RSHEAA should be granted and to EQAR to support RSHEAA application to the register.

The review panel is not expected, however, to make any judgements as regards granting membership.

2.1 Activities of RSHEAA within the scope of the ESG

In order for RSHEAA to apply for ENQA membership and for registration in EQAR, this review will analyse all activities of RSHEAA that are within the scope of the ESG, i.e. reviews, audits, evaluations or accreditation of higher education institutions or programmes that relate to teaching and learning (and their relevant links to research and innovation). This is regardless of whether these activities are carried out within or outside the EHEA, and whether they are obligatory or voluntary.

The following activities of RSHEAA have to be addressed in the external review:

1. Institutional accreditation of higher education institutions
2. Accreditation of study programmes

Trainings offered by the agency are not activities within the scope of the ESG, but the external review report should, however, address the separation between trainings and RS HEAA's reviews, including the measures in place to avoid conflicts of interest.

Furthermore, the external review report should also address how RS HEAA will verify ESG compliance in its recognition of external quality assurance activities carried out by non EQAR-registered agencies.

3. The Review Process

The process is designed in the light of the *Guidelines for ENQA Agency Reviews* and in line with the requirements of the *EQAR Procedures for Applications*.

The evaluation procedure consists of the following steps:

- Formulation of the Terms of Reference and protocol for the review;
- Nomination and appointment of the review panel;
- Self-assessment by RSHEAA including the preparation of a self-assessment report;
- A site visit by the review panel to RSHEAA;
- Preparation and completion of the final evaluation report by the review panel;
- Scrutiny of the final evaluation report by the ENQA Review Committee;
- Analysis of the scrutiny by the ENQA Board and their decision regarding ENQA membership;
- Follow-up of the panel's and/or ENQA Board's recommendations by the agency, including a voluntary follow-up visit.

3.1 Nomination and appointment of the review team members

The review panel consists of four members: one or two quality assurance experts, an academic employed by a higher education institution, student member, and eventually a labour market representative (if requested). One of the members will serve as the chair of the review panel, and another member as a review secretary. Two of the reviewers are nominated by the ENQA Board on the basis of proposals submitted to ENQA by the member national agencies. The third external reviewer is drawn from a nomination provided by the European University Association (EUA) or the European Association of Institutions in Higher Education (EURASHE). The nomination of the student member comes from the European Students' Union (ESU).

In addition to the four members, the panel will be supported by the ENQA Secretariat review coordinator who will monitor the integrity of the process and ensure that ENQA expectations are met throughout the process. The ENQA staff member will not be the Secretary of the review and will not participate in the discussions during the site visit interviews.

Current members of the ENQA Board are not eligible to serve as reviewers.

ENQA will provide RSHEAA with the list of suggested experts with their respective curriculum vitae to establish that there are no known conflicts of interest. The experts will have to sign a non-conflict of interest statement as regards RSHEAA review.

3.2 Self-assessment by RSHEAA, including the preparation of a self-assessment report

RSHEAA is responsible for the execution and organisation of its own self-assessment process and shall take into account the following guidance:

- Self-assessment is organised as a project with a clearly defined schedule and includes all relevant internal and external stakeholders;
- The self-assessment report is broken down by the topics of the evaluation and is expected to contain, among others: a brief description of the national HE and QA system; background description of the current situation of the Agency; an analysis and appraisal of the current situation; proposals for improvement and measures already planned; a SWOT analysis; each criterion (ESG part II and III) addressed individually. All agency's QA activities (whether within their national jurisdiction or outside of it, and whether obligatory or voluntary) will be described and their compliance with the ESG analysed.
- The report is well-structured, concise and comprehensively prepared. It clearly demonstrates the extent to which RSHEAA fulfils its tasks of external quality assurance and meets the ESG and thus the requirements of ENQA membership.
- The self-assessment report is submitted to the ENQA Secretariat who has 4 weeks to pre-scrutinise it before forwarding the report to the panel of experts. The purpose of the pre-scrutiny is to ensure that the self-assessment report is satisfactory for the consideration of the panel. The Secretariat will not judge the content of information itself but whether the necessary information, as stated in the Guidelines for ENQA Agency Reviews, is present. For the second and subsequent reviews, the agency is expected to enlist the recommendations provided in the previous review and to outline actions taken to meet these recommendations. In case the self-assessment report does not contain the necessary information and fails to respect the requested form and content, the ENQA Secretariat reserves the right to reject the report and ask for a revised version within 4 weeks. In such cases, an additional fee of 1000 € will be charged to the agency.
- The report is submitted to the review panel a minimum of six weeks prior to the site visit.

3.3 A Site Visit by the Review Panel

RSHEAA will draw up a draft proposal of the schedule for the site visit to be submitted to the review panel at least two months before the planned dates of the visit. The schedule includes an indicative timetable of the meetings and other exercises to be undertaken by the review panel during the site visit, the duration of which is 2,5 days. The approved schedule shall be given to RSHEAA at least one month before the site visit, in order to properly organise the requested interviews.

The review panel will be assisted by RSHEAA in arriving in Banja Luka (Republika Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina)

The site visit will close with an oral presentation and discussion of the major issues of the evaluation between the review panel and RSHEAA.

3.4 Preparation and completion of the final evaluation report

On the basis of the review panel's findings, the review secretary will draft the report in consultation with the review panel. The report will take into account the purpose and scope of the evaluation as defined under articles 2 and 2.1. It will also provide a clear rationale for its findings with regards to each ESG. A draft will be first submitted to the ENQA review coordinator who will check the report for consistency, clarity and language and it will be then submitted to RSHEAA within 11 weeks of the site visit for comment on factual accuracy. If RSHEAA chooses to provide a statement in reference to the draft report it will be submitted to the chair of the review panel within two weeks after the receipt of the draft report. Thereafter the review panel will take into account the statement by RSHEAA, finalise the document and submit it to RSHEAA and ENQA.

The report is to be finalised within three months of the site visit and will not exceed 40 pages in length.

When preparing the report, the review panel should also bear in mind the *EQAR Policy on the Use and Interpretation of the ESG*, so as to ensure that the report will contain sufficient information for the Register Committee for application to EQAR.

RSHEAA is also requested to provide a letter addressed to the ENQA Board outlining its motivation applying for membership and the ways in which RSHEAA expects to contribute to the work and objectives of ENQA during its membership. This letter will be discussed along with the final evaluation report.

4. Follow-up Process and Publication of the Report

RSHEAA will consider the expert panel's report and will publish it on its website once the ENQA Board has made its decision. The report will also be published on the ENQA website, regardless of the review outcome and decision by the ENQA Board. RSHEAA commits to preparing a follow-up plan in which it addresses the recommendations of the review panel and to submitting a follow-up report to the ENQA Board. The follow-up report will be published on the ENQA website, in addition to the full review report and the Board's decision.

The follow-up report will be complemented by a small-scale visit to the agency performed by two members of the original panel (whenever possible). This visit will be used to discuss issues, based on the ESG, considered as of particular importance or challenge by RSHEAA. Its purpose is entirely developmental and has no impact on the judgement of membership and/or compliance of the

agency with the ESG. Should the agency not wish to take advantage of this opportunity, it may opt out by informing the ENQA Review Coordinator about this.

5. Use of the report

ENQA shall retain ownership of the report. The intellectual property of all works created by the expert panel in connection with the review contract, including specifically any written reports, shall be vested in ENQA.

The review report is used by the Board of ENQA for the purpose of reaching a conclusion on whether RSHEAA has met the ESG and can be thus admitted as a member of ENQA. The report will also be used for registration on EQAR, and is designed so as to serve these two purposes. However, the review report is to be considered final only after being approved by the ENQA Board. Once submitted to RSHEAA and ENQA and until it is approved by the Board the report may not be used or relied upon by RSHEAA, the panel and any third party and may not be disclosed without the prior written consent of ENQA. RSHEAA may use the report at its discretion only after the Board has approved of the report. The approval of the report is independent of the decision on membership.

The Chair of the panel shall remain available to respond to questions of clarification or further information from the EQAR Register Committee provided that the ENQA Secretariat is copied in all such requests.

6. Budget

RSHEAA shall pay the following review related fees:

Fee of the Chair	4,500 EUR
Fee of the Secretary	4,500 EUR
Fee of the 2 other panel members	4,000 EUR (2,000 EUR each)
Fee of 2 panel members for follow-up visit	1,000 EUR (500 EUR each)
Administrative overhead for ENQA Secretariat	7,000 EUR
Experts Training fund	1,400 EUR
Approximate travel and subsistence expenses	6,000 EUR
Travel and subsistence expenses follow-up visit	1,600 EUR

This gives a total indicative cost of 30,000.00 EUR VAT excl. for a review team of 4 members. In the case that the allowance for travel and subsistence expenses is exceeded, RSHEAA will cover any additional costs after the completion of the review. However, the ENQA Secretariat will endeavour to keep the travel and subsistence expenses in the limits of the planned budget, and will refund the difference to RSHEAA if the travel and subsistence expenses go under budget. The review fee will be paid by RSHEAA in three instalments – first one at the signature of the contract and the next two before the site visit.

The fee of the follow-up visit is included in the overall cost of the review and will not be reimbursed in case the agency does not wish to benefit from it.

In the event of a second site visit required by the Board and aiming at completing the assessment of compliance, and should the agency accept a second visit, an additional fee of 500 EUR per expert, as well as travel and subsistence costs are recoverable from the agency.

7. Indicative Schedule of the Review

Agreement on terms of reference	By August 2016
Appointment of review panel members	October/November 2016
Self-assessment completed	September/October 2016
Pre-screening of SER by ENQA coordinator	October 2016
Preparation of site visit schedule and indicative timetable	November/December 2016
Briefing of review panel members	January 2017
Review panel site visit	February 2017
Draft of evaluation report and submitting it to ENQA coordinator for pre-screening	April 2017
Draft of evaluation report to RSHEAA	May 2017
Statement of RSHEAA to review panel if necessary	May/June 2017
Submission of final report to ENQA	June 2017
Consideration of the report by ENQA Board and response of RSHEAA	September 2017
Publication of the report	September 2017